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Since 2008, per acre property taxes in Illinois have increased substantially. These increases lower returns to farmland owners and magnify the losses associated with lowering cash rents. If per acre property taxes have reached a permanently higher level, equilibrium farmland values should be expected to adjust downward.

Property Tax Increases

Per acre property taxes in Illinois increased in recent years, as is illustrated in Figure 1 for high-productivity farmland in central Illinois. Between 2008 and 2016, property taxes increased at a rapid rate, increasing from $24 per acre in 2008 to $53 per acre in 2016 (see Figure 1). During this eight year period, property taxes increased an average of 9.6% per year.

![Figure 1. Property Taxes on Central Illinois, High-Productivity Farmland](image-url)
A number of factors caused these per acre property tax increases. For property tax assessment purposes, Illinois farmland is valued with a use valuation approach in which farmland values are based on income derived from agricultural uses (farmdoc daily, January 23, 2014). This use valuation uses historical prices to calculate farmland use values. Due to high prices received for corn and soybeans from 2006 to 2013, agricultural use valuations have been increasing in the past several years. Limits on increases and decreases also enter calculations and cause complexities in predicting future agriculture use valuations. Agricultural land use values may begin to decrease in future years. However, per acre property taxes are impacted by tax rates, which have increased in certain areas of the state.

Overall, the rate of growth in per acre property taxes may slow in the next several years as growth in agricultural use values decrease. However, it does not seem prudent to expect per acre property taxes to decline as property tax rates may increase in the future. Illinois’ fiscal situation suggests a continuing need to increase collection of funds for public uses.

**Impacts of Property Tax Increases**

The most immediate impact of higher property taxes is to reduce returns to farmland owners. Since farmland returns began to decline since 2013, property tax increases magnify farmland return decreases.

The impacts of these factors can be clearly seen for farmland owners who cash rented farmland. Cash rents reached highs in 2014, after which per acre cash rents decreased. For high-productivity farmland, cash rents declined from a high of $293 per acre in 2014 to $273 per acre in 2016, a decrease of $20 per acre (see Figure 2). At the same time, property taxes increased from $44 per acre in 2014 to $53 per acre in 2016, an increase of $9 per acre. Given average cash rents and property taxes, returns from farmland decreased a total of $29 per acre, with $20 per acre coming from cash rent decreases and $9 per acre coming from per acre property tax increases.

![Figure 2. Average Cash Rents Before and After Property Taxes on High-Productivity Farmland in Central Illinois](image)

**Commentary**

Property tax increases negatively impact returns obtained by farmland owners. Now returns received from farming the land also are decreasing. Land owners with share rent or variable cash lease arrangements already have had large adjustments downward in returns. Cash rents likely will continue to decline in the future. The increase in property taxes makes the adjustment downward in cash rents more difficult.

If property taxes have increased to a new higher level, some impact on farmland prices should be anticipated. Property taxes have increased $29 per acre. Using a 3 percent capitalization factor, a $29 reduction in future farmland returns results in a $966 reduction in farmland value ($966 = $29 / .03). While this reduction does not necessarily translate into immediate declines in farmland prices as overall capitalized values are above farmland prices, it does suggest a lower, longer-run equilibrium value for farmland prices.
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