



Bank Branch Expansion in Rural Areas

Paul Ellinger

Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics
University of Illinois

May 25, 2012

farmdoc daily (2):100

Recommended citation format: Ellinger, P. "[Bank Branch Expansion in Rural Areas](http://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2012/05/bank-branch-expansion-in-rural.html)." *farmdoc daily* (2):100 , Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, May 25, 2012.

Permalink: <http://farmdocdaily.illinois.edu/2012/05/bank-branch-expansion-in-rural.html>



The commercial banking industry has been changing rapidly over the past three decades. Changes have occurred in the structure of the industry as well as innovations in the delivery of financial services to individuals and firms. There are continued concerns that the changing landscape and the newer regulatory environment could impact niche banks and banks operating in rural areas.

Innovations in mobile and electronic banking in addition to access points provided through the more than 2.2 million automated teller machines around the world have increased the opportunities for some financial services to be delivered remotely. These innovations have also allowed nonlocal and nontraditional lenders to offer financial services in rural areas.

Strong lender-borrower are prevalent in agricultural lending and the delivery of financial services in rural areas. Rural banks have traditionally supported local economic development and growth by supplying funds to local businesses. Consolidation across the banking industry often raises concerns about the delivery of financial services to agriculture and rural businesses. The willingness and ability of commercial banks to deliver agricultural and rural loans efficiently will play an important role in maintaining and expanding rural economies.

The number of insured commercial banks in the U.S decreased 56% from 14,496 in 1984 to 6,291 in 2011 (Figure 1). Changes in the regulatory environment, including liberalization of geographic restrictions on branching and interstate branching, reductions in reserve requirements, deregulation of deposit accounts, and capital requirements, are fundamental drivers of bank consolidation. The decline in the number of institutions is primarily due to bank mergers. The peak of the merger wave started in the late 1980s and continued into the early 2000s (Figure 2). Bank failures have exceeded 100 banks per year the past 3 years since the start of the financial crisis.

We request all readers, electronic media and others follow our citation guidelines when re-posting articles from farmdoc daily. Guidelines are available [here](#). The farmdoc daily website falls under University of Illinois copyright and intellectual property rights. For a detailed statement, please see the University of Illinois Copyright Information and Policies [here](#).



Although there are still more than 6,000 banks in the U.S., the consolidation of banks continues to raise concerns about the concentration of the largest banks. The deposit market share of the largest 3 banks (ranked in terms of domestic sourced deposits) has increased from 5.9% in 1996 to almost 30% in 2011 (Table 1). The nation's largest 50 banks held over 65% of domestic deposits in 2011. Provisions in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act are intended to address emerging threats to financial stability and address large, systemically important financial institutions. Dallas Federal Reserve President Richard Fisher expressed his concern about the too-big-to-fail banks. The competitiveness of smaller, rural-based banks with these larger banks also remains a concern.

Table 1. Bank Concentration of Domestic Deposits

	Domestic Market Share of Largest		
	3 Banks	10 Banks	50 Banks
1996	5.9%	13.0%	31.4%
2001	16.1%	25.1%	47.1%
2006	20.2%	36.1%	56.6%
2011	29.7%	44.1%	65.1%

Source: FDIC

The number of bank branches has increased 95% from 1980 to 2011. However, the growth rate in branch expansion has leveled off the past three years. The number of branches in metropolitan areas grew over 22% from 1996 to 2011 while the growth in nonmetropolitan areas was 16%. Table 2 summarizes the growth of branches in nonmetropolitan areas in the U.S. from 1996 to 2011. The highest growth rates occurred in nonmetro counties with populations greater than 20,000 that are not adjacent to a metropolitan area.

Table 2. Commercial Bank Branch Changes and Distribution Across: U.S. Nonmetro Counties

	Growth Branches 1996-2011	Percent of Counties			
		0 Branches	1 to 2 branches	3 to 5 branches	more than 5 branches
Nonmetro counties:					
Urban population of 20,000 or more, adjacent to a metro area	13%	15%	7%	8%	70%
Urban population of 20,000 or more, not adjacent to a metro area	31%	13%	3%	10%	73%
Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, adjacent to a metro area	14%	23%	10%	16%	51%
Urban population of 2,500 to 19,999, not adjacent to a metro area	17%	22%	12%	18%	48%
Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, adjacent to a metro area	10%	43%	14%	23%	20%
Completely rural or less than 2,500 urban population, not adjacent to a metro area	12%	40%	18%	23%	19%

Source: FDIC Summary of Deposits, ERS/USDA typology: <http://www.ers.usda.gov/briefing/rurality/ruralurbcon/>

The distribution of branches across county typology is also summarized in Table 2. Approximately 40% of low-population, completely rural counties do not have a branch located in the county. Improvements in communication and internet banking technology should improve access to competitive financial services in these areas. It also appears that a high proportion of nonmetro counties have access to multiple branches that can potentially provide competitive financial services.

In summary, considerable changes are occurring across the banking landscape. Although bank consolidation has increased, bricks and mortar branches and online access have increased the capability of banks to provide financial services. There will continue to be considerable debate regarding the concentration of the largest banks and the potential risks to financial stability and potential changes in the competitiveness across the banking industry.